

October 2009

## Note for the Record

### Waste Services Contract – 2<sup>nd</sup> Customer Contract Workshops

Monday 28<sup>th</sup> September 2009, North Lakes Hotel, Penrith

Wednesday 30<sup>th</sup> September 2009, Marriott Royal Hotel, Bristol

---

#### 1. Introduction

Two Customer contract workshops were held in September 2009 to give an opportunity for LLW Repository Ltd to engage with its customers to outline the Draft Proposals for new the Waste Services Contract which will be the new contractual arrangement the provision of waste services. This Note for the Record provides a summary of the presentation and the questions and answers from both workshops. The presentation can be found on LLW Repository Ltd's website using the following link: <http://www.llwrsite.com/customers/llw-contracts>

#### 2. Presentation

##### LLW Repository Ltd Update

LLW Repository Ltd gave an update on the developments over the past 9 months including the capacity challenge, showing the potential to extend the life of the Low Level Waste Repository if we can segregate and treat material that would have in the past been sent to the Repository.

An update was also provided on the development of the new services including the procurement activities and the changes in Disposal Authorisations. The first consignment of Metallic waste has taken place under this service.

The Consignor Support Team has been fully populated since January, with Martin Walkingshaw now Acting Head of Consignor Support, replacing Chuck Conway, who will be seconded to Sellafield Ltd. The developments include the establishment of the Transport and Logistics Team, this is headed up by Marc Flynn.

A major achievement for the Low Level Waste Repository was the partial handover of an operational area of Vault 9 in August. This achievement closes the capacity gap in the short term and we now have containers being placed in Vault 9.

##### Waste Services Contract

###### *Re-Cap of January Workshop*

LLW Repository Ltd has a new business model which is to try and ensure we do not have to construct a second Repository in the future. We only want waste to come to the Repository if it has too and are developing a series of services and options to allow customers to treat and divert waste away from the Low Level Waste Repository.

To support this development, we are implementing a new contract, the Waste Services Contract, from 1st April 2010. The contract will be for a 5 year period to provide stability to 2015 and the structure of the contract will also be flexible to allow for extensions of services as new options become available. Container Supply will be included as part of the contract. It will also see the current Conditions for Acceptance being replaced with a new Waste Acceptance Criteria. The pricing structure will be simplified and extended to cover the full suite of services and the supply chain costs will be made more visible to customers.

## *Services*

From the 1st April 2010 the services that will be offered in the Contract are:

- Packaging Services
- Metallic Waste Treatment
- Supercompactable Waste Treatment
- Low Level Waste Disposal

From around July 2010, the services will be extended, as new routes are made available through the supply chain, and they will then be:

- Packaging Services
- Metallic Waste Treatment
- Combustible Waste Treatment
- Supercompactable Waste Treatment
- Low Level Waste Disposal
- Very Low Level Waste Disposal

## *Waste Acceptance Procedure / Waste Acceptance Criteria*

LLW Repository has developed a standardised Waste Acceptance Procedure which will help and guide Customers through the process of consigning waste. The Waste Acceptance Procedure supports all the new Waste Services that LLW Repository Ltd can supply. This document will replace Part B of the current Conditions for Acceptance, but retains a lot of the same elements whilst including some new ones such as the Waste Enquiry Process and the introduction of a common Form for Waste Characterisation. There will also be a Waste Characterisation Guide to provide guidance on waste fingerprinting and characterisation, sample plans and sample analysis, activity measurement and assay techniques.

The Waste Acceptance Criteria will replace the current Conditions for Acceptance and they incorporate the relevant Waste Service Suppliers Acceptance Criteria in service specific volumes.

## *Service Pricing*

LLW Repository Ltd is required by the NDA to recover the costs of operating the Low Level Waste Repository. This is achieved by charging Customers for the Disposal of waste. There has been an evolution of Disposal Prices over the years to reflect changes in circumstances and to encourage certain waste management behaviours.

We are working with the NDA to develop the Pricing Structure for the Waste services Contract and are still going through the sanctioning process. Our recommendations, at this point in time, on disposal pricing from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2010 are:

- Private Sector – Stay the same at £1,735/m<sup>3</sup>
- Public Sector – Stay at £1,460/m<sup>3</sup> to minimise impact on LTP 2010 production but increase to £1,735 from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2011

This will hopefully offer customers potential price stability during the contract period.

### *Customer Consultation*

LLW Repository Ltd would like as much feedback from our Customers as possible on our Draft Contract Proposals. We will begin publishing documents on Monday 5<sup>th</sup> October. All the documents can be found on our website at: [www.llwrsite.com/customers/llw-contracts](http://www.llwrsite.com/customers/llw-contracts).

Customers can send comments by e-mail to our dedicated address for this contract: [wasteservices@llwrsite.com](mailto:wasteservices@llwrsite.com)

### **3. LLW Repository Ltd Questions to Customers**

At both Customer Contract Workshops, LLW Repository Ltd asked Customers to provide a response, as part of their overall feedback, on two questions relating to the Draft Contract Proposals. The questions were:

- Do you prefer to be invoiced on a per container basis or on a monthly basis?
- How big of an impact is taking away the “D” References from the current suite of forms?

### **4. Penrith Workshop: Questions and Answers**

This section covers questions and comments from customers at the Penrith Workshop. Answers from LLW Repository Ltd are shown in *italics*.

- Q1.** Why have you only put variations in place for people you have contracts with, it looks like you are precluding the use of NDA assets and potentially limiting the Supply Chain?  
- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*We have competitively procured the current Metallic Waste Treatment Service. We are aware of Sellafield's desire to offer the Wheelabrator and we are now seeking preparing a variation to our Authorisation to add in additional facilities that will support Metallic, Combustible and Very Low Level Waste services in the future. We intend to include Sellafield and Winfrith in that application as NDA assets with potential services to offer to our Customers. We therefore don't believe we are limiting the Supply Chain at all.*

- Q2.** When the ITT for the new Treatment Services comes out, can we ask questions of clarification that might be of relevance to Sellafield Ltd?  
- Rob McGarel, Sellafield Ltd

*Yes, feel free to contact us and we will answer any questions.*

**Q3.** Has your Regulator given you their opinion on the proximity principle in relation to selection of treatment or disposal options?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*Andrew Fairhurst of the Environment Agency answered this question. He stated that the proximity principle was an important consideration but it was one of many considerations that had to be taken into account.*

**Q4.** Where does the responsibility lie, we deal with LLW Repository Ltd and not directly with a member of the supply chain?

- Geraint Barratt, Sellafield Ltd

*Risk and Title will transfer to LLW Repository Ltd on delivery, whether that is to the Low Level Waste Repository or one of Waste Services Suppliers. It does not pass to the Supplier or any Third Party, it stays with LLW Repository Ltd.*

**Q5.** Is there a mark up on the services from LLW Repository Ltd?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*No, it is a straight pass through of the Service Supplier's price. We do not pass on any management charges either. Our objective is to keep the Low Level Waste Repository open for as long as possible. We do not seek to profit from providing treatment services.*

**Q6.** Are you paying for the re-usable half height ISO?

- Peter Hanratty, Sellafield Ltd

*Yes, we will have a central fleet that is used to support Customer requirements. The supply of the container will be included within the price of the service. We will have to speak to the NDA about selling this type of ISO to our customers. At the moment, we only intend for them to be available for hire.*

**Q7.** The inner package for the re-usable ISO, is it different from a disposal container?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*The 2910 / 2989 container types will still be used for low level waste disposal. At this point in time, the reusable ISO and its inners are just for use with segregated waste services.*

**Q8.** If you are submitting the Environmental Safety Case to the EA in 2011 for it to be returned in 2013, does this mean we do not have a disposal facility until the back end of 2013?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*Yes, technically Vault 9 is authorised for storage only. However, we still take risk and title to the waste and therefore if we ever have to move waste then it would be at our cost and liability. Clearly, the partial handover of Vault 9 is a step forward in mitigating the disposal capacity issue.*

- Q9.** In the worst case scenario and timeline, when do we start to look at Vault 10?  
- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*Vault 10 would be needed in around 2015 going by the current forecasts. Clearly, the whole point of introducing treatment options is to reduce this forecast and preserve the life of Vault 9.*

- Q10.** Are you restricting these services to just solid waste?  
- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*No, for example, we are considering oils and other liquids as part of the Combustible Waste Service.*

- Q11.** Do you have the authorisations in place?  
- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*We are developing the Combustible Waste Service at the moment. We will work with the EA to ensure that any necessary Authorisations are in place in time to offer the service.*

- Q12.** If there is a problem with one of the services in the contract, will all services stop, as they are all tied into one contract?  
- Geraint Barratt, Sellafield Ltd

*No, each consignment will be considered on a case by case basis. If there was a problem with one consignment it would not necessarily impact on other consignments. Clearly if there was some fundamental issue with waste management for a particular company / site, then it may impact on more than one consignment.*

- Q13.** If we want to send Very low Level Waste now, do you want it flagged up now?  
- Murdo Murray, Babcock Marine

*Yes, we really do want to know as early as possible. As your site is in Scotland, we recognise that the Authorisation status is different. We are working with Hunterston A to identify any opportunities to trial this approach. Early engagement is essential.*

- Q14.** What are the flexibilities in forecasting?  
- Peter Hanratty, Sellafield Ltd

*The Waste Forecasting Form must be submitted twice per year at set times. However, you can come back and revisit the forecasts at any time if you have better information.*

- Q15.** Are there any financial penalties for changing the forecast?  
- Diane Browne, Springfields Fuels Ltd

*No, we are trying to move away from penalties, you will have a cheaper rate for what is forecasted and a standard rate for what is not.*

**Q16.** Do you want us to characterise on a fingerprint by fingerprint basis and for you to approve each wastestream?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*Yes, we need to approve each wastestream and the fingerprint is the key unit. We expect a Waste Characterisation Form to be submitted for each fingerprint.*

**Q17.** How much of this contract is developed via consultation and how much is by agreement?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*The contract proposals are open for consultation. There are some areas that can't be changed, for example, where this links up to our Disposal Authorisation. We do not want to weaken our Environmental Safety Case, this is crucial for us to operate our site. We are happy to listen to comments and feedback on options for processes.*

**Q18.** We have not sent waste for a number of years. What sort of timescales are we looking at to send waste under this new process?

- Peter Kirton, Springfields Fuels Ltd

*Normally, from start to finish you could be looking at around 3 months from first contact to receipt of a consignment.*

**Q19.** Will pricing include all packaging?

- Geraint Barratt, Sellafield Ltd

*We will work with you to develop a packing plan before we issue a quotation. Some customers are telling us how they want to package things up. Others would prefer us to tell them how to do it. The quotation that is issued will detail the packing requirements.*

**Q20.** From a contractual point of view are the services optional?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*Yes, the services remain optional. However, LLW Repository Ltd will challenge if treatment services are not used when they are a real option.*

**Q21.** Are you expecting to resolve the issue of duty of care and nuclear liability transfer before the contract is issued?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*It will not be resolved by next Monday in time for publication, but we are working on it and our output will be included in the second draft of the contract*

**Q22.** In your contract with the supply chain, would we have access to your Duty of Care assessments on them?

- Geraint Barratt, Sellafield Ltd

*Yes, we intend to make Duty of Care Assessments available to Customers.*

**Q23.** Why do you ask to see customers BPEOs / BPMs if their Regulator has already approved them?

- Stuart Latham Sellafield Ltd

*We ask customers to provide justifications for the options they propose and some use BPEOs / BPMs to provide that justification. We have a different perspective than the Regulators in that we see this across a national picture and we are considering it against our own Disposal Authorisation and potential impact on the Environmental Safety Case. We think it is appropriate as we have a Duty to protect the life of the Repository. The most appropriate approach is to involve LLW Repository Ltd as a Stakeholder or participant in your optioneering process.*

*Andrew Fairhurst of the Environment Agency commented: The primary responsibility lies at an individual site level. We are pleased that LLW Repository Ltd is taking an interest especially if it impacts on their safety case.*

**Q24.** With respect to Waste Characterisation, what if you don't do sampling, can you use alternative techniques to characterise the waste?

- Chris Hope, Sellafield Ltd

*The Waste Characterisation Form allows you to detail how you have characterised the waste. Whilst we can't guarantee that this approach would be acceptable, if there is a good justification then it may be possible.*

**Q25.** When you talk about VLLW volumes, are you talking about just soil/aggregate?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*We are talking about all VLLW apart from Metallic VLLW that could be treated.*

**Q26.** What if you under recover because we send less containers?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*This is the risk that we face in setting the price. This risk is held by the NDA.*

**Q27.** It seems that you are passing on the commercial risk to the customers.

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*No, we may still under recover at £1,735/m<sup>3</sup>, the NDA will sanction what we do with pricing. They approve our contract and yours.*

**Q28.** What do we get out of the additional services that will cost extra and a price increase for disposal?

- Rob McGarel, Sellafield Ltd

*By using the new waste treatment services, you get the chance to reduce what you pay overall by diverting waste away from the Low Level Waste Repository. With respect to the price increase, then you are paying for a national facility for disposal of Low Level Waste.*

**Q29.** Question for the NDA - Is there additional money at site funding levels?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*LLW Repository Ltd answered this: The pricing model is going through the sanctioning process at the moment. We have shared our proposals with the Finance Director at the NDA. Any views you have need to come through your Site Facing Team.*

**Q30.** Have the NDA challenged you to cut site operation costs?

- Rob McGarel, Sellafield Ltd

*Yes, this is a requirement of our contract with the NDA. We are taking every opportunity to reduce costs at the Low Level Waste Repository.*

**Q31.** We can't plan for anything long term, because the price will change?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*You can plan for the longer term. Our aim with this pricing structure is to maintain it at this level for the next five years.*

**Q32.** Can we see the operational costs?

- Rob McGarel, Sellafield Ltd

*Yes, the full build up of the pricing model will be available to Customers including details of the operational costs.*

**Q33.** Will individual Radiological Nuclide costs stay the same?

- Murdo Murray, Babcock Marine

*They will still be part of the pricing model. We have removed the Take or Pay element and done some rounding up on the £/MBq numbers to get away from four decimal places.*

**Q34.** What confidence do you have in your supply chain, can they handle the amount of material?

- Geraint Barratt, Sellafield Ltd

*We are working with the Supply Chain to ensure there is sufficient capacity. At this point in time, it would be a nice problem to have if capacity was constraining what we could do.*

**Q35.** Will the costs be reviewed every year?

- Geraint Barratt, Sellafield Ltd

*Yes the pricing will be reviewed every year. However we would not want to come back to the customer with a different price half way through a project, once we have agreed the price in a quotation that will be fixed.*

**Q36.** What if the supply chain has a problem? Are there contingencies if a supplier has to close down?

- Geraint Barratt, Sellafield Ltd

*We are covered for this situation commercially if a project was in flow. It would not impact on you as our Customer.*

**Q37.** Will we have visibility of each others queries?

- Geraint Barratt, Sellafield Ltd

*Unless you specifically ask us not to publish your views, we will make each Customers queries visible. Whilst we can't guarantee that every comment will be made available, we will make sure that we provide a history that shows changes in each draft document.*

**Q38.** For metal recycling, is the price based on the scrap value?

- Rob McGarel, Sellafield Ltd

*The value of the recycled metal is discounted off the treatment cost. So, the price in our quotation takes account of the metal value. You won't physically get money back once the metal has been treated and recycled.*

**Q39.** If there is no contract in place on 1<sup>st</sup> April will the doors be closed?

- Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

*Technically yes, let's work together to make sure we don't get into that situation.*

**Q40.** If you don't get an agreement, is there an extension?

- Rob McGarel, Sellafield Ltd

*No extensions, we haven't developed a fall back plan. The key is to make sure that we have a new contract in place by then.*

## **5. Bristol Workshop: Questions and Answers**

This section covers questions and comments from customers at the Bristol Workshop. Answers from LLW Repository Ltd are shown in *italics*.

**Q1.** What is the likelihood that the processed material will go back into the supply chain?

- Rob Storrie, GE Healthcare

*The Metallic Waste that was sent to Studsvik's MRF from the Low Level Waste Repository has actually gone on to be sold to a local scrap company in Maryport.*

**Q2.** Will you continue to use the SW01 forms in the new contract?

- Jim Buckley, Magnox South

*They will be replaced by a common system for all wastes under the new Waste Acceptance Procedure. Whilst they may be called a different name they contain very similar information. We hope by creating a standard approach that it is easier for the Customer to follow the process.*

**Q3.** Is there a chance that waste that has been consigned before 2013 that was OK with the CFA at the time, could be sent back?

- Steve Gurney, GE Healthcare

*No, we continue to take Risk and Title. It will be for us to resolve any issues with historic wastes after 2013.*

- Q4.** Is there anything different coming out of the Non-nuclear Industry Low Level Waste Strategy? Will it come out for consultation in the same way?

- Rob Storrie, GE Healthcare

*Not that we are aware of. It is being developed by DECC. We believe it will be put out for consultation in the same way as the Nuclear Industry Low Level Waste Strategy.*

- Q5.** How do you ensure suppliers are offering value for money?

- Kevin Sheppard, Magnox North

*They are competitively bidding for the work so they have to deliver value for money. They have to input a pricing structure. We want to make it as flexible as possible, it may be possible to amalgamate suppliers to get a better price (could link together customers waste consignments for a better price.)*

- Q6.** Pricing structure, is still on a consignment by consignment basis?

- Jim Buckley, Magnox South

*We want to try and have a price list structure eventually rather than a case by case basis, this is very difficult. We want the pricing to be visible so customers can see the pricing from the suppliers.*

- Q7.** If you sign up to a five year contract, what if you want to change / break it after three years?

- Mike Lambden, Ministry of Defence

*We can build in a break in the contract as a clause. We will negotiate/ talk to individual sites that need any variations of this nature. Our overall aim is to have one contract model if at all possible.*

- Q8.** Are you looking at any other services you can provide with regard to treatment?

- Kevin Butter, WMT

*Yes, we have gone for those that would have biggest impact on volume reduction initially. However, we intend to then start looking elsewhere to identify other treatment opportunities.*

- Q9.** Alongside the services you are going to offer in April, are you going to put in Combustible and Very Low Level Waste within the contract?

- Kevin Sheppard, Magnox North

*In the contract there will be a schedule of what we can offer on the 1st of April. It won't specifically state what we want to offer after the 1st of April. We could write the schedule so the two additional services appear as future options*

- Q10.** What is NEC3?

- Norman Grieve, British Energy

*This is a standard form of contract that stems from large civil engineering projects but is becoming a common form of contract in use across the NDA estate.*

**Q11.** Is the target to get the Waste Acceptance Criteria in place by 1<sup>st</sup> April?

- Rob Storrie, GE Healthcare

*Yes, they would form part of the new Waste Services Contract and would therefore be in place on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2010 subject to the transition arrangements for any waste in flight on 1<sup>st</sup> April.*

**Q12.** What about the Guidance Note?

- Rob Storrie, GE Healthcare

*Any relevant points have gone into the Waste Acceptance Criteria and therefore the Guidance note will go. We are thinking of having a secure area on our website where people can post guidance notes / questions that we would answer. LLW Repository Ltd is happy to offer training on any of the new services / forms for free. We will go to individual sites or hold group workshops, whatever the Customers prefer. Just let us know.*

**Q13.** You are not going to get a disposal authorisation for Vault 9 from the EA until at least 2013, what are the risks?

- Rob Storrie, GE Healthcare

*The transfer of Risk and Title to LLW Repository Ltd does not change and this gives the assurance to you that it will not impact on your business. We need the Environmental Safety Case or we would not get planning permission as a disposal facility. However, Vault 9 has been planned and designed as a disposal facility and this is our intention.*

**Q14.** Will the forecast still be on a yearly basis?

- Mike Lambden, Ministry of Defence

*Yes we want to keep it to a 12 month look ahead but we will be introducing a six month review point to provide an update.*

**Q15.** How does the BPEO look when you are looking at suppliers over sea?

- Mike Lambden, Ministry of Defence

*It is not necessarily more expensive to ship the waste overseas. We can combine Customer's consignments so they share the overall costs for example. It really depends on the particular waste in question and the technical challenges in treating the waste.*

**Q16.** Do you think we will get a UK melting operator?

- Kevin Sheppard, Magnox North

*No one can make a business case for a smelter in the UK at this point in time. There is simply not a lot of funding in the current global situation and they don't know if the supply of material will be there. One thing is for sure, if we work together through the LLW Repository Ltd services then we present a common volume of material to the Supply Chain and this may help strengthen the case for additional facilities in the UK.*

**Q17.** Who can take components up to 500 tonne?

- David Gatehouse, NDA

*A few suppliers would take components up to 500 tonne and they would either self perform if their facilities are capable or they may sub contract the size reduction out.*

**Q18.** Do you have a member on the Clearance and Exemption Working Group?

- Michelle Skelland, RSRL

*Nicolas Solente is now involved in the CEWG and he will represent LLW Repository Ltd in the future and Marc Flynn, our Transport and Logistics manager, is also involved.*

**Q19.** Have you taken into account overseas transport costs, insurance, brokering etc?

- Kevin Sheppard, Magnox North

*Yes, it is a complete package. All the financial aspects, transportation, insurance, etc. are captured in the price we offer.*

**Q20.** The NDA has given permission to share the pricing proposals but they are not approved by the NDA?

- Rob Storrie, GE Healthcare

*Yes, we need feedback from you on the pricing proposals. We are working through the sanctioning process with NDA to determine the final pricing model.*

**Q21.** Will you give the cost model to the MoD accountants if needed?

- James Galloway, Ministry of Defence

*Yes, we will share the cost model with any Customer*

**Q22.** Is there any change on container charges?

- Rob Storrie, GE Healthcare

*No, but we are using stock at the moment. Once this stock expires and we need to manufacture more containers, then there could be a different price. If Customers forecast accurately and early, we will buy in bulk and get a better price. If you come in half way through the year requesting more then you will probably be charged at a different rate.*

**Q23.** Do you intend to try and maintain the activity price the same for five years but review it over 12 months?

- Rob Storrie, GE Healthcare

*Yes, same approach with all of the pricing elements.*

**Q24.** If you find that we are over paying or under paying at the £1735/m<sup>3</sup>, what's the view?

- Keith Norman, AWE, Aldermaston

*This is a risk that NDA carry. We will review the price every year. We believe that 1,735 is a credible price against the scenarios we anticipate.*

**Q25.** When do you want customer's responses by?

- Rob Storrie, GE Healthcare

*We would like responses In November, though the sooner the better. We will submit our draft proposals to NDA on 1<sup>st</sup> December.*

**Q26.** With regard to processes, are any SLA's or performance agreements in place for turnaround times?

- Jim Buckley, Magnox South

*Yes, we will have turnaround times for quotations and forms detailed in the contract and Waste Acceptance Procedure. We recognise that this is an important commitment to Customers.*

## 6. Attendees

The following people attended the Customer Contract Workshops:

### **LLW Repository Ltd:** *(Attended both Workshops)*

Martin Walkingshaw, Acting Head of Consignor Support

Jonathan Evans, Service Delivery Manager

Alex McCarthy, Contracted Services Manager

Gillian McKeown, Commercial Team Member

### **Penrith Workshop:**

Nicolas Solente, LLW Repository Ltd

Shirley Jackson, LLW Repository Ltd

Murdo Murray, Babcock Marine

Dennis Cowan, Babcock Marine

David Hutchinson, Doosan Babcock

Michael Tait, DSRL

John Brammer, Ministry of Defence

Abigail Burns, NDA

Steve Ellery, NDA

Stuart Latham, Sellafield Ltd

Peter Hanratty, Sellafield Ltd

Peter Blount, Sellafield Ltd

Chris Hope, Sellafield Ltd

Geraint Barratt, Sellafield Ltd

Rob McGarel, Sellafield Ltd

Andy Barber, Sellafield Ltd (Capenhurst)

Diane Browne, Springfields Fuels Ltd

Peter Kirton, Springfields Fuels Ltd

Simon Dickson, Studsvik UK

### **Bristol Workshop:**

Jill Douglas, LLW Repository Ltd

Keith Norman, AWE, Aldermaston

Scott Tucker, Babcock Marine

Norman Grieve, British Energy

Steve Gurney, GE Healthcare

Rob Storrie, GE Healthcare

Kevin Sheppard, Magnox North

Jim Buckley, Magnox South

Martin King, Magnox South

James Galloway, Ministry of Defence

Mike Lambden, Ministry of Defence

David Gatehouse, NDA

Helen Beddow, Nuvia

Michelle Skelland, RSRL

Janet Smith, RSRL

Kevin Butter, WMT

Chris Jolliffe, WMT